PATENT Attorney Docket No. 492.216

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Alan F. Savicki

Application No. 10/049,319

Art Unit: 3677

RECEIVED
GENTRAL FAX CENTER

Filed: February 5, 2002

Examiner: J.R. Brittain

MAY 1 3 2004

For: CLOSURE DEVICE

AMENDMENT

OFFICIAL

Mail Stop Non Fee Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed January 13, 2004, currently due May 13, 2004 pursuant to one-month petition for extension of time submitted herewith, please enter the following amendments and consider the following remarks.

AMENDMENTS IN T. E CLAIMS begin on page 2 of this paper.

REMARKS begin on page 6 of this paper.

06/03/2004 HEROUN

00000001 032270

10049319

01 FC:1251

Thereby certify that this Response to Office Action and all accompanying documents are, on the date indicated below, □ being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Non Fee Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandeia, VA 22313-1450, or ☑ being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Attention: Examiner James R. Brittain. Art Unix 3617, Facsimile Number 703-872-9326.			
Name (Print/Type)	To ∂i Sampson	•	
Signature	Done Jampson	Date	May 13, 2004

In re Appln. of Savicki, Alan F. Application No. 10/049,319

manner that removes substantially all the material of the upper flanges above the closure elements, including both the upwardly extending first length portion and inwardly extending second length portion of the respective upper trange portions. As is seen in Figs. 7 and 11 of Stolmeier et al. '281, the separator has a wide point that extends well beyond the normal occluded position of the vertically upright length portions of the upper flanges. Thus, without the provision of the notch in both the vertically upwardly extending first length portion and inwardly extending second length portion of the respective upper flange portions, the separator would still act to deocclude the fastening strips.

Claims dependent upon a claim that is not anticipated by a reference cannot be rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) and claims dependent upon a claim that is not obvious cannot be rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103. See, e.g., RCA Corp. v. Applied Digital Data Systems, 221 U.S.P.Q.2d 385 (Fed. Cir. 1984); In re Fine, 5 U.S.P.Q.2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988). Since none of the cited references render independent claim 1 anticipated or obvious, dependent claims 2-15 are likewise patentable.

Fee Authorization

Examiner is authorized to charge a one-month extension fee of \$110.00 to Deposit Account 032270. A duplicate of this paper is attached.

Conclusion

The application is considered in good and proper form for allowance, and the Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this application to issue. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephone conference would expect to the prosecution of the subject application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney.

Finally, while no fees are due, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 03 2270.

Respectfully submitted.

Date: May 13, 2004

Customer No. 27023

Thomas C. Feix, Reg. No. 34,592

The Glad Products Company PO Box 24305

Oakland, CA 94623-1305 (510) 271-7416 (Telephone) (510) 271-5652 (Facsimile)

J:\PT\TCF\492.216\492.216 response to 1-13-04 OA1.doc